COVID-19 has had a significant impact on specialty training. All training days were cancelled and the majority of trainees were redeployed to general medicine.

By early May 2020, there was a shift in the focus back towards specialty training as COVID-19 related hospital admissions continued to decrease. With the help of the team from King's College London, a remote rheumatology training programme was developed and accessible to trainees from four different regions – South London, North West London, North East/North Central London and Kent/Surrey/Sussex. The collaboration between different regions gave access to a greater pool of speakers and reduced the administrative workload. Subsequent collaboration with the British Society for Rheumatology facilitated the delivery of the webinars on a national level, supporting other regions that had not yet set up any remote training.

Feedback was particularly important for this innovative programme in order to understand how this experience could be optimised for trainees. There were no additional difficulties related to the training taking place online, with one trainee responding that it was 'very easy to log on'. There was also positive feedback regarding the recording – '[it] was great to have a link to the recording to watch it later'.

By having a shared vision for change, we were able to work across regions and organisations, delivering a high quality training programme on a national scale, benefitting a greater number of trainees. Remote training has the additional benefit of removing the need to travel between hospitals. Therefore, there may be a push towards blended learning (a combination of online and face-to-face learning) in the future. Using a robust feedback mechanism, we are confident that the programme will continue to improve as it evolves alongside the pandemic, aiming to at least in part, satisfy the speciality rheumatology training needs within our regions.

Understanding leadership through research

61 FAMILY HEALTH STRATEGY AND HEALTH EQUITY AMONG OLDER ADULTS

Marciane Kessler, Elaine Thumé, Michael Marmot, James Macinko, Luiz Augusto Fachini, Fúlvio Borges Nedel, Louriele Soares Wachs, Pâmela Moraes Volz, Cesar de Oliveira. Department of Postgraduate Program in Epidemiology, Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil, Department of Postgraduate Program in Nursing, Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil, Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, London, UK, Department of Community Health Sciences and Department of Health Policy and Management Departments, University of California, Los Angeles, EUA, Department of Public Health, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil, Department of Public Health, Federal University of Rio Grande, Rio Grande, Brazil

10.1136/leader-2020-FMLM.61

People living in low social conditions have higher morbimortality risk and lower access of health services. Primary Health Care (PHC) has been recommended as the main strategy to achieve the goal of health for all. Since 1994 the Brazil MoH proposed a new strategy PHC called Family Health Strategy (FHS), to reorganize and restructure the universal health system. FHS was implemented first in the poorest and less assisted areas, to reduce health inequalities. FHS has been associated with improvement in health indicators; however,

there is little understanding about how it affects social inequalities. Therefore, we compare the mortality among older adults living in areas covered by FHS to those not covered by them. We believe that there is no difference in mortality between these two groups, given that FHS could be able to minimize the impact of social inequalities among the poorest. These are results from 'The Bagé Longitudinal Study of Ageing'. In Bagé, half of the population and sample was covered by FHS at the baseline study (2008), it means, the poorest areas/periphery of city. This context makes our study a natural experimental research. All interviewed at baseline (1,593) were eligible for a follow-up. 1,336 (83.9%) older adults were located in 2017; 579 deaths were confirmed (53.5% in FHS). We used X2 to compare proportions, cumulative survival curves adjusted for age-sex and Log-rank test. Results show a significant higher prevalence of participants with low wealth, skin color black/brown/yellow/indigenous and less school living in FHS areas, compared to TPHC areas, as expected. Hence, people living in FHS areas present higher prevalence of health conditions, as smoking, diabetes, depression and disabilities compared to TPHC, confirming the impact of social determinants. However, we confirm our hypothesis; no difference was found in all-cause mortality risk between FHS and TPHC during 9 years follow-up.

Leadership lessons from across the world

62 CREATING AND SUSTAINING AN EXPERT FORUM ON NONCOMMUNICABLE DISEASES IN LOW- AND MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES

Kannan Subramaniam, Barrett Jeffers, Anurita Majumdar, Shekhar Potkar. Research Development and Medical (RDM), Upjohn, Australia, RDM, Upjohn, USA. RDM, Upjohn, Singapore. RDM, Upjohn, UAE

10.1136/leader-2020-FMLM.62

Aims Noncommunicable diseases (NCD) cause 71% of all deaths worldwide. More than 85% of premature deaths (ages 30–69) occur in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC).] LMICs are not on target to achieve the United Nations' Sustainable Develop Goals (UN SDG) 3.4. The aims were to create a multi-stakeholder forum to review NCD burden in LMICs; consider pragmatic solutions; and lead the conversation to inform the broader agenda on NCDs.

Methods As key health stakeholders, medical leaders in Upjohn invited others to form an Expert Forum on NCDs in LMICs. These experts in clinical practice in primary and specialty care; academic research; patient advocacy; community pharmacy; public and health policy; civil society; mobile health; and private industry were chosen because they worked in LMICs; published peer-reviewed papers; committed to act together on NCDs.

After an accelerated development sequence through storming, forming and norming, we commenced reviewing the NCD burden and challenges to overcome it. Through facilitated workshops, the team articulated how different sectors could, together, generate concepts for systemic solutions.

Results The 19-member team has published a paper in a peer-reviewed journal that reviews the NCD-burden in LMICs; captures the rich workshop dialogue; presents the evidence and posits pragmatic solutions to combat the burden. Team