The programme has two elements: a workshop-based taught programme and an experiential leadership project. The taught programme consists of 6 whole day workshops covering topics such as leadership style, difficult conversations, emotional intelligence, and change management. We have run the programme over 6 cohorts. In the 3rd cohort the invite was extended to senior non-medical staff and the framework used moved from the MCLF to the Health Leadership Model (HLM). 159 senior registrars, 11 specialty doctors and 30 non-medical mental health professionals have completed the programme.

The programme was evaluated by self-assessment of leadership attributes across the 20 elements of the MCLF. We used $\chi^2$ test to compare the proportion of participants reporting being effective on each element before and after the programme. There was a highly significant increase in the proportion of participants meeting competency in 18 of the 20 elements ($p<0.001$). The largest increases were shown in the managing services and improving services domains.

We have now been able to set a common standard for leadership competency for consultant practitioners irrespective of professional background based on the HLM. The critical elements for the success of the programme can be attributed to a sound theoretical curriculum and a blend of workshop and workplace-based learning experiences and mentorship.

Discussion This is the first standardised operation note in the department. Liaison with the School of Improvement and IT services is allowing the template to be modified into an electronic proforma which can be used for all surgical procedures. Excellent leadership and negotiation allowed an ‘easy’ yet unsafe system to be improved with approval of consultants.

Conclusion Junior doctors often encounter system failures first-hand which can directly affect patient care. There is a general assumption that innovation is governed at a higher level. With good leadership and communication from junior doctors, a simple idea can be built up to a larger scale with a significant impact on patient safety.
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The academic literature demonstrates that organisational culture contributes to variation between health care organisations in outcomes and performance, including patient satisfaction, innovation, health care quality and safety, and employee job satisfaction. The objectives of this study were as follows: 1. To review literature on organisational culture within the NHS and to identify key themes relating to cultural change, service improvement and collective leadership. 2. To identify the dominant culture within the RVH Adult Emergency Department by using a combination of both the ‘Organisational Culture Assessment Instrument’ (OCAI) and ‘Rich Pictures’ soft systems methodology. 3. To formulate recommendations. The results of this study indicate the dominant organisational culture is a market culture (29.74 points), followed by hierarchy culture (28.97 points) then a clan culture (25.55 points) and an adhocracy culture (15.74 points), this infersthat there is a mixture of cultures where an emphasis is placed predominantly on results and profitability. The results also look at the difference between current and preferred organisational culture. The largest desired difference can be seen in clan culture, with an increase of 12.93 points. Subsequently market culture with a decrease of 12.39 points. Hierarchy culture decreases with 3.58 points and adhocracy culture increases with 3.04 points. The dominant culture in the preferred situation becomes clan culture, followed by hierarchy culture, adhocracy culture, and market culture. The results also show there was a differing gap within all professional groupings with admin staff (24.97 points), doctors (33.71 points), nurses (40.36 points) and others (11.08 points). The Rich Pictures results highlight contrasting multidisciplinary dynamics in regards to hierarchy, inter team cooperation and team whom whilst working under extreme pressure, were positively committed to quality, patient safety and service innovation.